

Resistance and response of *Pinus pinaster* seedlings to *Hylobius abietis* after induction with methyl jasmonate

Luis Sampedro · Xoaquín Moreira · Rafael Zas

Received: 21 April 2010 / Accepted: 12 August 2010 / Published online: 27 August 2010
© Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2010

Abstract Experimental induction of plant chemical defences with methyl jasmonate (MeJa) is a valuable tool for understanding the ecology of plant defensive responses. However, few studies have examined whether MeJa-induced defences in conifers are effective against insect herbivores. We studied, in 17 half-sib *Pinus pinaster* families, (i) the effect of MeJa application on plant growth and on the induction of diterpenoid resin in different sections of the stem; (ii) whether MeJa-induced defences increase the resistance of living pine juveniles against the large pine weevil *Hylobius abietis* in an in vivo bioassay and (iii) the induction of resin content after weevil wounding. Resin concentration was greater in the upper section of the stem compared with basal sections in both MeJa-induced and non-induced seedlings. Sixty days after MeJa application, treated plants showed 40% greater resin content all along the stem, but reduced height growth compared to control plants. MeJa-induction

was effective against the pine weevil, as induced seedlings were 21% less damaged than control plants. Wounding activity by *H. abietis* produced a strong local defensive response after 48 h, where resin concentration was double that observed in the basal and apical sections not exposed to the insects.

Keywords Induced resistance · In vivo bioassays · Resin content · Herbivory · Diterpenes · Conifer resistance

Introduction

In response to chemical, physical or biotic stimuli, constitutive defences can be reinforced by induced defences, newly synthesized or mobilized to the site where a plant is injured. This form of phenotypic plasticity has been suggested to be cost-saving, as resources useful for growth are employed in defences only when needed (reviewed by Karban and Myers 1989). However, triggering of induced defences requires an activation time after identifying the biological enemy. Once triggered, defences will continue until the biotic challenge disappears, at which point they will usually revert to their initial state (decay time sensu Gómez et al. 2009).

Several phytohormones involved in plant defence signalling and induced defence triggering are increasingly used as experimental chemical elicitors for

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s11258-010-9830-x) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

L. Sampedro (✉) · X. Moreira
Centro de Investigación Forestal de Lourizán - Unidad
Asociada MBG-CSIC, Apdo. 127, 36080 Pontevedra,
Spain
e-mail: lsampe@uvigo.es

R. Zas
Misión Biológica de Galicia (CSIC),
Apdo. 28, 36080 Pontevedra, Spain

studying plant defensive responses. Particularly, the exogenous application of methyl jasmonate (MeJa) is known to activate a wide variety of resistance traits in several annual plant species (e.g. Baldwin 1998; Kessler et al. 2004). In conifers, although the information is more limited, MeJa has been also reported to induce plant responses similar to those caused by insect herbivory through up-regulating gene expression, enzyme activity and accumulation of terpenoid defences (reviewed by Bohlmann 2008).

Recently, Moreira et al. (2009), using *cafeteria* bioassays in Petri plates, reported that the large pine weevil, *Hylobius abietis* L., fed significantly less on cut twigs of MeJa treated *Pinus pinaster* Ait. seedlings than on twigs of untreated plants. This pine weevil is a generalist phloem and bark feeder that causes important damage in young conifer stands all around Europe, including *P. pinaster* (Zas et al. 2006), a model Mediterranean species for genetic and ecological studies in south-west Europe (González-Martínez et al. 2004). In field conditions, induced plant responses to the feeding activity of this insect may be crucial to counteract the insect attack, and thus the results of in vitro bioassays may not strictly reflect field resistance. In the present work, we explored whether exogenous application of MeJa is also effective in reducing pine weevil damage to living *P. pinaster* seedlings using whole-plant in vivo bioassays. We were also interested in whether the weevil damage during the bioassay could induce defensive responses in pine seedlings, and so we analysed the resin content in the plants before and after the exposure to the weevil.

Materials and methods

Seeds of 17 open-pollinated *P. pinaster* families, selected from the Atlantic coast population in Galicia (NW Spain), were individually sown in 2-l containers filled with peat and perlite (1:1 v:v), fertilized with 12 g of a slow release fertilizer (Multicote[®] N:P:K 15:15:15), and grown in a greenhouse with controlled temperature (25/18°C day/night). In June 2007, when pine seedlings were 16 months old and about 116 ± 1.4 cm tall (mean \pm s.e.), half of the seedlings were treated with a suspension of 100 mM MeJa (Sigma–Aldrich, #39270-7) in deionised water with 0.1% (v/v) Tween-20[®]. The remaining seedlings

(control plants) were treated with the carrier solution. Both treatments were sprayed over the foliage to run off (about 3.1 ± 0.2 ml per plant) in two separate rooms of the greenhouse, where the seedlings remained for 24 h. Then all seedlings were positioned according to a randomized complete block design.

Sixty days after the application of the treatments, just before the bioassay, tree height was measured again, and 12 randomly selected plants ($N = 6$ treated with MeJa and $N = 6$ from the control treatment) were destructively sampled to analyse the resin content in the stem prior to the bioassay. Needles were carefully separated from the stem, and 10 cm sections of the basal, intermediate and apical sections of the stem were sampled, immediately frozen, and preserved at -30°C until analysis.

With six seedlings of each family ($N = 3$ MeJa-induced and $N = 3$ control) we established an in vivo bioassay to evaluate the actual resistance against *H. abietis*. Total number of seedlings for the bioassay was $N = 102$ (3 blocks \times 2 treatments \times 17 half-sibs). Two pre-weighed pine weevils were confined into fine-mesh cages fixed onto the intermediate section of the stem of each living seedling (see details in Online Resources 1). Insects had been caught in the field 1 week before the experiment and starved in Petri dishes with a moist filter paper for 24 h prior to the bioassay. After 48 h of exposure to weevil feeding, insects were removed from the cages and all the seedlings were immediately harvested. Bark and phloem consumed by the weevil was measured with a millimetric grid as the debarked area of the stem. The stem was then divided into basal, intermediate and apical sections and sampled as above for resin analysis.

The concentration of diterpenes in the stem, a main resistance trait against insects in conifers (Bohlmann 2008), was determined gravimetrically after two cycles of quantitative extraction with *n*-hexane, following Moreira et al. (2009) (see detailed methodology in Online Resource 2). The non-volatile resin residue is composed mainly of diterpene resin acids that remain as an oxidized residue after volatilization of the lighter fraction of the oleoresin (mono and sesquiterpenes). Results obtained following this simple procedure were well correlated to the concentration of the diterpenoid fraction in the stem ($r = 0.9214$; $P < 0.0001$) as analysed by GC–MS according to Arrabal et al. (2005).

The effects of induction (MeJa), Family (F) and MeJa \times F on plant height and on the damage caused by the weevil in the in vivo bioassay were analysed with a mixed model with Block as a random factor, using the initial plant height and the weevil weight as covariates, respectively. The resin content in the different stem sections of the plants harvested before the bioassay was analysed with MeJa, stem Section and Section \times MeJa as main factors. Stem section was considered a within-subject factor, as measures were taken in the same experimental units (Littell et al. 2006). For analyzing the resin content in the plants, after the bioassay we included the Family effect to account for the genetic variance and reduce the error term. Block (random), MeJa Family and their interactions were considered between-subject factors, and stem Section, Section \times Family and Section \times MeJa within subject factors. All analyses were performed using the Proc Mixed procedure of SAS. Data are presented as means \pm standard errors.

Results and discussion

The analysis of the plants harvested just before the bioassays showed that treatment with MeJa induced a 40% increase in the resin content of stems (Fig. 1a). The resin concentration was significantly greater towards the upper section of the stem, and the induction was similar in the three studied stem sections (no significant MeJa \times Section interaction) (Fig. 1a).

Results from the in vivo bioassay showed that MeJa-induction was also effective in increasing the resistance to the large pine weevil. The damage by the weevil after the 48 h bioassay was 21% smaller in those plants previously treated with MeJa, with already activated induced defences, than in control plants (Fig. 2a). We did not detect significant differences in damage by the insect among pine families ($F_{16,65} = 0.74$, $P = 0.746$) nor in MeJa \times Family interaction ($F_{16,65} = 0.76$, $P = 0.727$). Although MeJa is well known to elicit anatomical and chemical defences in conifers (reviewed by Eyles et al. 2009), few studies have focused on whether MeJa-induced responses are effective in improving the resistance against insect herbivores or fungal pathogens. Our results agree with the limited information available for the genus *Pinus* (Gould et al. 2008; Hejari et al.




Fig. 1 Resin concentration in the basal, intermediate and apical sections of the stem in control and MeJa-induced *P. pinaster* seedlings destructively sampled **a** before an in vivo bioassay and **b** after exposing the pine seedlings to wounding by the large pine weevil *H. abietis* during a 48 h bioassay. F -values and associate probability levels of the main factors are also shown. Means \pm s.e. are presented, with $N = 6$ in **a**, and $N = 51$ in **b**. Asterisks indicate significant differences between MeJa-induced and control plants within each stem section. Different letters indicate significant differences between plant sections (n.s. not significant; * $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$)

2005; Moreira et al. 2009). Of particular relevance, Moreira et al. (2009) reported that application of MeJa significantly increases the resin content in the stems of *P. pinaster* seedlings and reduces the area debarked by this weevil in in vitro bioassays. Here we demonstrate that the defensive responses elicited by MeJa also effectively protect living seedlings of this Mediterranean pine.

These results could lead to the assumption that the application of MeJa could be considered as a potential tool to protect seedlings against these insects (Holopainen et al. 2009). However, as commonly observed (Gould et al. 2008; Hejari et al. 2005), the improvement of seedling resistance through MeJa application




Fig. 2 Effect of MeJa induction of *P. pinaster* seedlings from 17 half-sibs on **a** actual resistance to the large pine weevil *H. abietis* in an in vivo bioassay, and on **b** seedling height growth, 60 days after treatment application. *F*-values and associate probability levels of the main factors MeJa, Family and MeJa \times Family are also shown. Means \pm s.e.m. ($N = 51$)

was not cost-free, and the application of MeJa significantly reduced the pine height growth (Fig. 2b), which may affect field performance and future fitness, as has been observed in other plant species (Baldwin 1998). Nevertheless, in a previous experiment with the same species (Moreira et al. 2009) we did not detect significant effect of MeJa on plant growth, although this was in all likelihood because MeJa was applied at the beginning of the growing season when growth rates were markedly lower than in the present paper. Seedling phenology, thus, appears crucial for measuring vegetative costs of MeJa-induced defences.

Another key finding was that the exposure of living seedlings to weevil feeding altered the pattern of resin defences along the stem (Fig. 1b). The greater resin concentration was now found in the section exposed to the insect, with values double than those observed

before the bioassay, suggesting a strong local response to the weevil damage just after 48 h. Up-regulation of defensive gene expression just a few hours after exposure to the white pine weevil *Pissodes strobi* was reported for Sitka spruce (Ralph et al. 2006) and altered resin acid concentration in bark and xylem of *P. sylvestris* seedlings was found after 4 days of insect feeding (Heijari et al. 2005). Our results indicate that short term responses induced by insect feeding also involved large quantitative functional changes in resistance traits. Although this rapid response was restricted to the stem section exposed to the insects, we do not discard further systemic extensions to other parts of the plant after a longer period than 48 h. The existence of such a local response to insect wounding should be considered when interpreting the results of feeding tests with living plants. Results from in vivo bioassays could reflect purely constitutive resistance, or indeed constitutive plus induced resistance if the time that insects are allowed to feed is longer than the activation time (Gómez et al. 2009) for triggering induced defences.

After insect feeding, we found a significant interaction Section \times MeJa (Fig. 1b) because the effect of MeJa on the resin content, although still significant in the apical and basal sections of the stem, was not significant in the section of the stem exposed to the insect. The resin content in the intermediate section of the stem was actually slightly greater in control than in MeJa-treated plants, probably due to the greater feeding supported by the control plants. We did not observe, however, a significant correlation between the feeding scar area and the resin content after insect damage ($r = 0.029$, $P > 0.05$). This result suggests that other MeJa-inducible defences could be also important in limiting insect feeding.

Further work should clarify if local herbivory by this harmful weevil in Maritime pine trees produces a systemic induction of defences, and aim to identify other induced defences involved in resistance to this insect, and determine the decay time of those responses.

Acknowledgments We thank Carlos Arrabal and Paz Arraiza for their help with the analysis of terpenes, and Rodrigo Costas and Ana Soliño for their help with bioassay evaluation and laboratory analyses, respectively. Research was supported by the grants PSE-310000-2008-1 and INIA-RTA07-100. LS and XM positions were supported by DOC-INIA and PREDOC-INIA grant programs.

References

- Arrabal C, Cortijo M, Fernández de Simón B, García Vallejo MC, Cadahía E (2005) Differentiation among five Spanish *Pinus pinaster* provenances based on its oleoresin terpenic composition. *Biochem Syst Ecol* 33:1007–1016
- Baldwin IT (1998) Jasmonate-induced responses are costly but benefit plants under attack in native populations. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 95:8113–8118
- Bohlmann J (2008) Insect-induced terpenoid defenses in spruce. In: Schaller A (ed) *Induced plant resistance to herbivory*. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, pp 173–187
- Eyles A, Bonello P, Ganley R, Mohammed C (2009) Induced resistance to pests and pathogens in trees. *New Phytol* 185:893–908
- Gómez S, Dijk Wv, Stuefer JF (2009) Timing of induced resistance in a clonal plant network. *Plant Biol* 12:512–517
- González-Martínez SC, Mariette S, Ribeiro MM, Burbán C et al (2004) Genetic resources in maritime pine (*Pinus pinaster* Aiton): molecular and quantitative measures of genetic variation and differentiation among maternal lineages. *For Ecol Manag* 197:103–115
- Gould N, Reglinski T, Spiers M, Taylor JT (2008) Physiological trade-offs associated with methyl jasmonate-induced resistance in *Pinus radiata*. *Can J For Res* 38:677–684
- Heijari J, Nerg A-M, Kainulainen P, Viiri H et al (2005) Application of methyl jasmonate reduces growth but increases chemical defence and resistance against *Hylobius abietis* in Scots pine seedlings. *Entomol Exp Appl* 115:117–124
- Holopainen JK, Heijari J, Nerg A-M, Vuorinen M, Kainulainen P (2009) Potential for the use of exogenous chemical elicitors in disease and insect pest management of conifer seedling production. *Open For Sci J* 2:17–24
- Karban R, Myers JH (1989) Induced plant responses to herbivory. *Annu Rev Ecol Syst* 20:331–348
- Kessler A, Halitschke R, Baldwin IT (2004) Silencing the jasmonate cascade: induced plant defenses and insect populations. *Science* 305:665–668
- Littell RC, Milliken GA, Stroup WW, Wolfinger R, Schabenberger O (2006) *SAS System for mixed models*, 2nd edn. SAS institute, Cary, NC
- Moreira X, Sampedro L, Zas R (2009) Defensive responses of *Pinus pinaster* seedlings to exogenous application of methyl-jasmonate: concentration effect and systemic response. *Environ Exp Bot* 67:94–100
- Ralph SG, Yueh H, Friedmann M, Aeschliman D et al (2006) Conifer defence against insects: microarray gene expression profiling of Sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis*) induced by mechanical wounding or feeding by spruce budworms (*Choristoneura occidentalis*) or white pine weevils (*Pissodes strobi*) reveals large-scale changes of the host transcriptome. *Plant Cell Environ* 29:1545–1570
- Zas R, Sampedro L, Prada E, Lombardero MJ, Fernández-López J (2006) Fertilization increases *Hylobius abietis* L. damage in *Pinus pinaster* Ait. seedlings. *For Ecol Manag* 222:137–144